

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,523	02/01/2001	Hideaki Machida	1022-01	4791
75	90 03/07/2003			
Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis IP Department 36th Floor			EXAMINER	
			SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
1600 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A 3-1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•'	09/762,523	MACHIDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Catherine Simone	1772
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 33 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however ation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimuly period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>23 December 2002</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-fina	l.
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims		nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 035 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)[🛛	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the appli	ication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration	on.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requireme	ent.
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed or		
	If approved, corrected drawings are requir		1.
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed in Application No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).
		·	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
, а) The translation of the foreign langue Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the second content of the seco	age provisional application	has been received.
Attachmen		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	:
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) btice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:

Application/Control Number: 09/762,523

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sado et al. (4,971,748).

Regarding **claims 1-3**, Sado et al. discloses a polyimide molding of an aromatic polyimide resin, comprising a wall defining an opening at one end and closed at an opposite end (Fig. 1, #11) and having a depth therebetween (see col. 6, line 57), wherein the wall thickness is at most 0.5 mm (see col. 5, lines 43-45). However, Sado et al. fails to disclose a ratio of depth to opening is of at least 0.7 and a longest major axis of at least 150 mm in length with a depth of at least 0.5 mm. However, Sado et al. teaches a depth and a diameter (see col. 6, lines 56-58). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily determine the ratio of depth to opening being of at least 0.7 and the longest major axis being of at least 150 mm in length with a depth being of at least 0.5 mm through routine experimentation depending on the desired end results as shown by Sado et al. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the polyimide molding in Sado et al. with a ratio of depth to opening being of at least 0.7 and a longest major axis being of at least 150 mm in length with a depth being of at least 0.5 mm, since it has been held that where the

Application/Control Number: 09/762,523

Art Unit: 1772

general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art absence of showing unexpected results. *In re Boesch and Slaney*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding **claim 4**, note the aromatic polyimide is a thermoplastic aromatic polyimide (see col. 1, lines 35-40). Regarding **claim 5**, note the polyimide has a glass transition temperature falling between 200 and 350° (see col. 2, lines 3-5) and has a degree of elongation at break of from 50 to 2000% (see col. 2, lines 40-42) at its glass transition temperature.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (703) 605-4297. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Catherine Simone Examiner Art Unit 1772

February 24, 2003

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER